

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,017	02/14/2001	Hiroshi Kamiya	Q63036	Q63036 3808	
7590 03/13/2006			EXAMINER		
	MION, ZINN, MACP	THEIN, MARIA TERESA T			
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3627		

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/782,017	KAMIYA, HIROSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marissa Thein	3627		

	manoca mom		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ig date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	it before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Anneal will no	t he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce hecause.
See Continuation Sheet.			ioc because.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(1-10/36/00 01 F10-1449) Faper 1	10(3)	
10. [] Oulet	57=	Bm. allet	_
		B. MCALLISTER	
	SIEVE	J. MUTLEN I LIT	

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not persuasive. For example, Applicant remarks that "O'Hagan does not disclose or suggest the claimed inputting of ordered issuer information.....The activation of a virtual button to display another screen does not input any information about the order issuer in the system of O'Hagan."

The Examiner notes that in interpreting "inputting of order issuer information" and "inputting said order issuer informationinto said first input fields on said order issuing device" means inputting information from the order issuer and not to mean inputting information "about" the order issuer. There is no recitation in claim 1 that recites information "about" the order issuer.